

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,668	······································	02/20/2004	Gabriel Santos	IS01442ESG	7224	
20280	7590	01/09/2006		EXAMINER		
MOTOROLA INC					ASON D	
	600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45				PAPER NUMBER	
ROOM AS4		60048-5343		3724		
				D. TTC 14.11.ED. 01/00/200	,	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		6	
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,668	SANTOS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Prone	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	8 October 2005.		
,-	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			;
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-1/		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2005</u> is/a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			1).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	traceived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a f	nst of the certified copies no	rreceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/</li> </ul>	C •	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		
		<del></del>	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, and 10-13 are rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coleman (3,675,524).

In regards to claim 1, Coleman discloses the same invention including a means for holding a work piece (23), a cutting means (17 and 27) comprising at least one blade (17), the blade passes across at least one surface of the work piece (Fig. 2),

In regards to claim 2, Coleman discloses the cutting means further comprises a leveling means (27).

In regards to claim 3, Coleman discloses the amount of insertion is limited by the leveling means (Fig. 1).

In regards to claim 7, Coleman discloses a sliding member coupled to the cutting means (12) and the sliding member is mounted on rails (Fig. 3).

In regards to claim 8, Coleman discloses the sliding member is coupled to a lever (13).

In regards to claim 10, Coleman discloses a threaded member coupled to the sliding member (7) and the threaded member passes through a fixed stop (5).

Art Unit: 3724

In regards to claim 11, Coleman discloses a threaded stop disposed about the threaded member (8) such that the fixed adjustment stop is disposed between the sliding member and the threaded stop (Fig. 2).

In regards to claim 12, Coleman discloses travel of the cutting means is adjustable by twisting the threaded stop (8).

In regards to claim 13, Coleman discloses the cutting means is electrically isolated from the means for holding a work piece (Fig. 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman in view of Makeev et al. (4,077,287). Coleman discloses the invention but fails to disclose a magnet mounted below the cutting means. Makeev et al. teaches a magnet below the cutting means (39 Column 10, lines 20-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Coleman with a magnet, as taught by Makeev et al., to prevent the cut pieces from traveling all over.
- 5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman in view of Diskin (5,135,208). Coleman discloses the invention but fails to disclose the means for holding includes a fixed block and a spring-loaded belt. Diskin

Art Unit: 3724

teaches a means for holding that includes a fixed block (22) and a spring-loaded (64) belt (52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Coleman with a fixed block and a spring-loaded belt, as taught by Diskin, to allow the holding means to hold an alternate shaped work piece.

- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman in view of Matych (1,164,658). Coleman discloses the invention but fails to disclose the lever is rotatably connected to the sliding member by way of a gear assembly. Matych teaches a lever (27) that is rotatably connected to the sliding member (24) by way of a gear assembly (Figs. 3 and 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Coleman with a gear assembly, as taught by Matych, to allow for a more precise method of moving the sliding member.
- 7. Claims 15, 16, and 18 are rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman in view of Diskin. Coleman discloses the invention including a base member (1), a fixed bock coupled to the base member (23), a moveable cutting means comprising at least one blade (17), a leveling means (27), and the cutting means is electrically isolated (17).

However, Coleman fails to disclose the fixed block includes a recess for holding the work piece, a spring loaded moveable belt, and the belt and the recess form a closed loop. Diskin teaches a fixed block (22) with a recess for holding the work piece (30), a spring-loaded (64) moveable belt (52), and the belt and the recess form a closed

Art Unit: 3724

loop (Fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Coleman with a fixed block and a spring-loaded belt, as taught by Diskin, to allow the holding means to hold an alternate shaped work piece.

8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman in view of Diskin as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Makeev et al. Coleman and Diskin disclose the invention but fail to disclose a magnet disposed below the cutting means. Makeev et al. teaches a magnet below the cutting means (39 Column 10, lines 20-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Coleman in view of Diskin with a magnet, as taught by Makeev et al., to prevent the cut pieces from traveling all over.

## Response to Arguments

9. In response to applicant's argument that Coleman fails to disclose that the means for holding is equipped to hold a battery cell, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The fact that the holding means holds a battery is the intended use of the apparatus. The Coleman patent is perfectly capable of holding a battery. It is old and well known that batteries come in all shapes and sizes. In fact, the work piece (25) shown in Coleman could represent a battery of some kind.

Art Unit: 3724

Diskin teaches to Coleman that it is old and well known in the art of holding a work piece to incorporate a belt and fixed block apparatus for an alternate way of stabilizing a work piece to be held.

In Coleman, item 27 in Figure 2 clearly limits the amount of insertion of the work piece and clearly keeps the work piece level. The term "level" could represent any orientation of the work piece. The term level has not been defined and has been given its broadest interpretation.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/783,668

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP

January 02, 2006

Timothy V. Eley Primary Exeminer